

■ PM (Prime Minister) preparation form (肯定側・1人目)

[3分スピーチ / POIあり]

挨拶

Good [morning/afternoon] everyone in this house. (*左の house とは「議会」のことを差します)

論題

Today, we are given the motion that : (↓論題を書き写しておき、スピーチで読み上げる)

We, the government side strongly support this motion.

【以下は定義が必要な場合だけ】

Let me define the motion.

[.....]

導入

We have two arguments. (↓これから説明する議論を、見出しとして名詞句にまとめる)

Our first argument is [.....].

Our second argument is [.....]. ←2つ目はMGが説明します。

議論

So, let me explain our first argument.

Our claim is that : [トピックセンテンスのように、1つ目の議論全体を1文でまとめて説明]

Our reasoning is as follows. [主に原因と結果の流れを説明することで、議論を詳しく説明]

I'll give you some [evidence / examples]. [議論の証拠や具体例を説明する]

挨拶

So for all these reasons, we beg to propose. Thank you.

■ LO (Leader of the Opposition) preparation form (否定側・1人目)

[3分スピーチ / POIあり]

挨拶	Good [morning/afternoon] everyone.
論題	We, the opposition side strongly believes that : (↓論題を否定文にした文を読み上げる)
反論	<p>Let me refute what the previous speaker has said.</p> <p>First, [he / she] said : [肯定側の1つめ目の議論を要約する]</p> <p>However, this is not [true / always true / relevant / important] because: [反論の説明]</p> <p>So, their argument does not stand.</p>
導入	<p>Now, we have two arguments. (↓これから説明する議論を、見出しとして名詞句にまとめる)</p> <p>Our first argument is [] .</p> <p>Our second argument is [] . ←2つ目はMOが説明します。</p>
議論	<p>So, let me explain our first argument.</p> <p>Our claim is that : [トピックセンテンスのように、1つ目の議論全体を1文でまとめて説明]</p> <p>Our reasoning is as follows. [主に原因と結果の流れを説明することで、議論を詳しく説明]</p> <p>I'll give you some [evidence / examples]. [議論の証拠や具体例を説明する]</p>
挨拶	So for all these reasons, we beg to oppose. Thank you.

■ MG (Member of the Government) preparation form (肯定側・2人目)

[3分スピーチ / POIあり]

挨拶	Good [morning/afternoon] everyone.
反論	<p>First, let me refute what the previous speaker has said.</p> <p>□ First, [he / she] said : [否定側の1つ目の議論を要約する]</p> <p>□ However, this is not [true / always true / relevant / important] because: [反論の説明]</p> <p>So, their argument does not stand.</p>
立て直し	<p>Now, let me reconstruct my partner's argument.</p> <p>□ The previous speaker said : [否定側に反論された内容を要約する]</p> <p>□ However, this is not [true / always true / relevant / important] because: [反論への反論]</p> <p>Therefore, our first argument still stands.</p>
議論	<p>Next, let me explain our second argument.</p> <p>□ Our claim is that : [トピックセンテンスのように、1つ目の議論全体を1文でまとめて説明]</p> <p>□ Our reasoning is as follows. [主に原因と結果の流れを説明することで、議論を詳しく説明]</p> <p>□ I'll give you some [evidence / examples]. [議論の証拠や具体例を説明する]</p>
挨拶	So for all these reasons, we beg to propose. Thank you.

■ MO (Member of the Opposition) preparation form (否定側・2人目)

[3分スピーチ / POIあり]

挨拶	Good [morning/afternoon] everyone.
反論 ①	<p>First, let me refute their first argument.</p> <p>First, [he / she] said : [肯定側の1つ目の議論を、MGが立て直したことも踏まえ要約する。]</p> <p>However, this is not [true / always true / relevant / important] because: [反論の説明]</p>
反論 ②	<p>Next, let me refute their second argument.</p> <p>[He / She] said : [肯定側の2つ目の議論を要約する。]</p> <p>However, this is not [true / always true / relevant / important] because: [反論の説明]</p> <p>So, their argument does not stand.</p>
立て直し	<p>Now, let me reconstruct my partner's argument.</p> <p>The previous speaker said : [肯定側に反論された内容を要約する]</p> <p>However, this is not [true / always true / relevant / important] because: [反論への反論]</p> <p>Therefore, our first argument still stands.</p>
議論	<p>Next, let me explain our second argument.</p> <p>Our claim is that : [トピックセンテンスのように、1つ目の議論全体を1文でまとめて説明]</p> <p>Our reasoning is as follows. [主に原因と結果の流れを説明することで、議論を詳しく説明]</p> <p>I'll give you some [evidence / examples]. [議論の証拠や具体例を説明する]</p>
挨拶	For all these reasons, we beg to oppose. Thank you.

■ Reply Speaker(Opposition) preparation form (否定側・3人目)

[3分スピーチ / POIなし]

挨拶

Good [morning/afternoon] everyone.

導入

In order to summarize this round, we need to ask two questions.

First question is : [試合をまとめる上で大切だと思う問いを疑問文の形で示す]

Second question is: [試合をまとめる上で大切だと思う問いを疑問文の形で示す]

So, let me explain one by one.

争点

Now, let's think about the first question: [1つ目の問いを繰り返して確認]

①

What the government side said about this is : [問いに関して肯定側が言ったことの要約]

However, we pointed out that this is not [true / always true / relevant / important] because:

So, what they said was quite doubtful.

On the other hand, we have proved to you that : [問いに関して否定側が言ったことの要約]

Therefore, our side is superior on this issue.

争点

Now, let's think about the second question: [2つ目の問いを繰り返して確認]

②

What the government side said about this is : [問いに関して肯定側が言ったことの要約]

However, we pointed out that this is not [true / always true / relevant / important] because:

So, what they said was quite doubtful.

On the other hand, we have proved to you that : [問いに関して否定側が言ったことの要約]

Therefore, our side is superior on this issue, too.

挨拶

For all these reasons, we have won this round. Thank you.

■ Reply Speaker(Government) preparation form (肯定側・3人目)

[3分スピーチ / POIなし]

挨拶	Good [morning/afternoon] everyone.
反論 ②	<p>First, let me refute their second argument.</p> <p>[He / She] said : [MOが出した否定側の2つ目の議論を要約する。]</p> <p>However, this is not [true / always true / relevant / important] because: [反論の説明]</p> <p>Therefore, their argument does not stand.</p>
導入	<p>Next, let me summarize today's round. This round comes down to two issues.</p> <p>First issue is : [試合をまとめる上で大切だと思う争点を疑問文の形で示す]</p> <p>Second question is: [試合をまとめる上で大切だと思う問いを疑問文の形で示す]</p> <p>So, let me explain one by one.</p>
争点 ①	<p>Now, let's think about the first issue: [1つ目の問いを繰り返して確認]</p> <p>What the opposition side said about this is : [問いに関して肯定側が言ったことの要約]</p> <p>However, we pointed out that this is not [true / always true / relevant / important] because:</p> <p>On the other hand, we have proved to you that : [問いに関して否定側が言ったことの要約]</p> <p>Therefore, our side is superior on this issue.</p>
争点 ②	<p>Now, let's think about the second issue: [1つ目の問いを繰り返して確認]</p> <p>What the opposition side said about this is : [問いに関して肯定側が言ったことの要約]</p> <p>However, we pointed out that this is not [true / always true / relevant / important] because:</p> <p>On the other hand, we have proved to you that : [問いに関して否定側が言ったことの要約]</p> <p>Therefore, our side is superior on this issue, too.</p>
挨拶	For all these reasons, we have won this round. Thank you.